



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

mn
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,461	11/21/2003	Frederick Lo	CA919990010US2	2591
25259	7590	07/05/2007	EXAMINER	
IBM CORPORATION			ZHEN, LIB	
3039 CORNWALLIS RD.				
DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195				
REASEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/05/2007		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

RSWIPLAW@us.ibm.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/719,461	LO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Li B. Zhen	2194

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 November 2003.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 5,6 and 10 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 5,6 and 10 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 5, 6 and 10 are pending in the application.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc. The abstract is written in the format of a claim and contains portions of a withdrawn claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

3. On page 1 of the specification, please update the information of the parent application, U.S. application serial numbers 09/483069, to include the patent number.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: Figs. 3A and 3B. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet,

even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 5, 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,125,391 to Meltzer et al. [hereinafter Meltzer].

6. As to claim 5, Meltzer teaches a method of converting ERP data in a database [a database 706; col. 29, lines 25 – 53] managed by an ERP application [enterprise functions; col. 23, line 63 – col. 24, line 54] and accessed through an ERP API and ERP Message Agent API (MAAPI) [ESIS listener API; col. 27, lines 8 – 25] to strongly typed data in Java objects [converting XML instances to and from the corresponding JAVA objects; col. 32, lines 1 – 13] comprising the steps of:

(a) reading a XML file containing said definition of said Java objects and their attributes [receiving a document at the network interface (step 400); col. 26, lines 18 –

40]; or HyperText Markup Language (HTML) statements which specifies presentation format;

(b) parsing each of said declarations and HTML statements to identify definitions of objects and their attributes [parser identifies the document type (401) in response to the business interface definition....the document is parsed (step 402); col. 26, lines 18 – 40]; and

(c) creating said respective objects with their attributes [XML logic structures are translated into JAVA objects which carry the data of the XML element as well as methods associated with the data such as get and set functions; col. 26, lines 18 – 40];

(d) populating said objects with data from said ERP data [output data of the service is produced (step 1207). The output is converted to the document format, for example from a JAVA format; col. 83, lines 28 – 45].

7. As to claim 6, Meltzer teaches the creating and populating of Java objects step comprises the steps of:

(a) opening a connection through said ERP API to said ERP Message Agent API (MAAPI) [col. 9, lines 45 – 55];

(b) setting said Application object identifier [col. 82, lines 26 – 60], username [col. 9, line 55 – col. 10, line 29] and password using said MAAPI [col. 12, lines 5 – 23];

(c) setting search key values (d) instructing said ERP application to process said current object [col. 30, lines 36 – 53];

(e) for every scroll level creating a corresponding object and setting its attributes with data from said ERP Application data [col. 11, line 10 – col. 12, line 5]; and,

(f) closing said connection to said ERP API [col. 84, lines 15 – 34].

8. As to claim 10, Meltzer teaches an article of manufacture for use in a computer system comprising a computer readable medium for storing statements or instructions for use in execution in a computer in accordance with the method of any of claims 5 or 6 [col. 5, lines 40 – 56].

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 6,226,675 discloses systems and protocols to support transactions among diverse clients coupled to a network.

U.S. Patent No. 6,636,831 discloses server with middleware for converting legacy information received from the business applications or the ERP system into a format usable by the server suite.

U.S. Patent No. 7,197,741 discloses a method for interfacing with an enterprise resource planning system.

CONTACT INFORMATION

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Li B. Zhen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3768. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Thomson can be reached on 571-272-3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Li B. Zhen
Examiner
Art Unit 2194

LBZ

Li B. Zhen
6/25/2007